

# **School of Business Administration**

oakland.edu/business









ROCHESTER MUNICIPAL PARK • ROCHESTER, MICHIGAN

ARTS & APPLES FESTIVAL®

**ECONOMIC IMPACT** 

**ANALYSIS** 

December, 2016

### **ABSTRACT**

Attendance of 85,000 in 2016 had an economic impact of \$2.6 million dollars.

Jonathan Silberman, PhD & ECN 418 Students

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Arts & Apples Festival is a three-day fine art fair held by the Paint Creek Center for the Arts. The event is held annually the weekend after Labor Day, and hundreds of artists showcase their work for tens of thousands of visitors to embrace and purchase. In addition to art exhibits, the Arts & Apples Festival features additional activities such as a chalk art competition, food contests, live entertainment, and tasty food & drink vendors.

Professor Jonathan Silberman of Oakland University's Economics Department, along with his ECN 418 – Seminar in Economic Policy class, performed an economic impact analysis of the Arts & Apples Festival on the greater Rochester, Michigan community. Only new money injected into the greater Rochester economy from the Arts & Apples Festival generates economic impact. Excluded is spending that merely replaces or displaces other activities in the greater Rochester region, and spending at the Festival on art and food since the artists and food vendors are not local Rochester businesses.

The principal finding from the Arts & Apples Festival Economic Impact study are:

- Attendance at the 2016 Festival was 85,000. Eighty percent of the attendees lived within 25 miles of Rochester, MI.
- The economic impact of the 2016 Festival is \$2.6 million dollars.
- Each attendee spent, on average, \$40 in addition to what they otherwise would have spent in the Rochester area. Of that amount \$19 was spent within the Festival on art and food, and \$21 was spent in the greater Rochester area.
- The economic impact from attendees totaled 1.7 million dollars.
- Additional economic impact is generated from the artists, food vendors, sponsors, performers and operational expenses. The economic impact from these groups is \$950,000.



### 1.0 Definition of Economic Impact

Only new money injected into the greater Rochester economy from the Arts & Apples Festival generates economic impact. Excluded is spending that merely replaces or displaces other activities in the greater Rochester region. In keeping with the literature, careful considerations have been given to substitutions, double-counting, and leakages of economic activity from the region in arriving at the economic impact estimate [Carstensen (2000), Crompton (2006), Dwyer et.al. (2005), Stillwater Foundation (2006), Hierpe, Kim (2003), Miller (2007), Sigfried, Zimbalist (2000), Stynes (1997), Tyrrell et.al. (2001)].

Economic impact from the Arts & Apples Festival is attributed to:

- Operational expenses by the Paint Creek Center for the Arts to support the Festival
- New spending by patrons, artists, food vendors, performers, and sponsors

Each category of Economic Impact results in direct, indirect, and induced impacts. The *direct* impact is that portion of the new spending that occurs and is captured in the greater Rochester region. Not all retail expenditures that occur in greater Rochester are captured by the local area.

The portion of retail expenditure in the greater Rochester area by patrons of and participants in the Festival on meals, accommodations, transportation, supplies, or souvenirs that is captured locally is the retail margin. Omitted from this margin are the producer prices of goods that are not made locally; the gasoline that a visitor to the Festival purchases is not locally refined. When a patron has a meal at a Rochester restaurant not all of that direct spending is captured locally. Since the wholesaler of the restaurant supplies likely reside outside of greater Rochester, only that portion of the restaurant supplies that is attributed to local resources (retail margin) accrues to the local area or is locally captured. We use a capture rate of 75 percent [Hjerpe (2003), Crompton (2006), and Miller (2007)].

The direct impacts, adjusted for the percentage captured (75%) locally, create additional *indirect* (purchases from suppliers) and *induced* (income effects on wages and salaries) impacts on the local economy. The cumulative changes in spending are a multiple of the initial locally captured direct impact and generate permanent new jobs in the region.

The expenditure multiplier used is 1.28. Each \$1 of direct spending in greater Rochester, attributed to the Arts & Apples Festival, results in an additional \$0.28 of indirect and induced spending in the region. The 1.28 multiplier is consistent with the multiplier reported recently for the State of Michigan (2.0) and the tri-county region surrounding Wayne State University (1.8) [Sallee, et. al. (2007), Anderson

(2004)]. These multipliers are based on the U.S. Department of Commerce RIMS II input-output model. The multiplier of 2.0 for the State of Michigan has a leakage of 50 percent of every additional dollar of new expenditure made in the State. The multiplier of 1.8 for the tri-county region allows for a 12 percent leakage from the region to the rest of the State. Based on our assumption that 75 percent is locally captured, there is an additional 51.25 percent that leaks out of greater Rochester – therefore the total leakages from greater Rochester is 78.55 percent – resulting in a multiplier of 1.28.



### 2.0 Festival Attendance

Festival attendance was estimated by having volunteers at each entrance place a wristband on each person entering the festival. The number of wristbands used is displayed in Table 1. The low estimated attendance on Saturday is due to inclement weather.

TABLE 1: Festival Attendance

	WRISTBANDS/ATTENDANC E
FRIDAY SEPT. 9	11570
SATURDAY SEPT. 10	18450
SUNDAY SEPT. 11	55700
TOTAL ATTENDANCE	85,720

The miles travelled to the Festival is displayed below in Table 2. The vast majority of patrons, about 70 percent, travelled less than 20 miles to the Festival.

Table 2: Miles Travelled to the Festival

Mileage Travelled	<u>Percent</u> of Respondents
In 48307	12.60%
1-3 miles:	8.66%
4-5 miles:	4.72%
6-8 miles:	11.02%
9-10 miles:	2.36%
11-13 miles:	3.94%
14-16 miles:	14.17%
17-19 miles:	11.02%
20-22 miles:	4.72%
23-25 miles:	7.87%
26-30 miles:	0.79%
31-35 miles:	3.94%
36-40 miles:	7.87%
41-45 miles:	0.00%
46-50 miles:	1.57%
51 miles and	
beyond:	4.72%

### 3.0 Economic Impact

### 3.1 Patrons

The economic impact attributed to patrons attending the Arts & Apples Festival is from new spending in the Rochester community. New spending is in addition to what would otherwise have been spent in the Rochester area. For example, patrons of the Arts & Apples Festival may have visited the Rochester area in any event to have dinner at a restaurant. In this instance, spending at the Festival replaces expenditures that would have occurred without the Festival. Further, patron expenditures within the Festival on art and food are not considered economic impact to the Rochester community because the artists and food vendors are not local businesses. Information to estimate new spending by patrons is derived from an intercept and online survey of patrons. The questionnaires and survey design are in the Appendix.

A lower and upper bound on new spending by patrons due to the Festival is presented. The upper bound estimate uses information directly from the questionnaire that asks respondents "while attending Arts & Apples, about how much did you spend (as a person, per day average) in addition to what you otherwise would have spent in the Rochester area in the month of September? Please consider all expenditures, including merchandise, travel, accommodations, food, and entertainment, shopping, and parking."

The lower bound estimate uses information from a sub-set of patrons, those that more clearly represent new spending in the Rochester area from the Festival. The sub-set of respondents is defined as those that made no visits to Rochester in the month of August and the Festival was the primary reason for their visit. These individuals clearly do not regularly visit Rochester and attending the Festival is the reason to be in Rochester. Thirty eight percent of the respondents satisfied the sub-set criteria. The average spending from the sub-set is \$49 per person, higher than the full sample average spending of \$40. This result is consistent with reasonable expectations that those patrons not usually visiting Rochester except for the Festival will higher spending than other patrons.

Spending at the Festival on art and food was estimated from the artists and food vendor surveys.

The new spending from patrons and the resulting economic impact is displayed in Table 3.

Table 3: NEW SPENDING & ECONOMIC IMPACT BY PATRONS

COMPONENT OF ECONOMIC IMPACT	LOWER BOUND ESTIMATE	UPPER BOUND ESTIMATE	
Attendance	32,300	85,000	
	No visits to Rochester in August & Arts/Apples Festival Primary Reason (38% of visitors)		
New Spending by Patrons per person	\$49 per person in additional spending over otherwise would have spent	\$40 per person in additional spending over otherwise would have spent	
Total New Spending Attributed to Festival	\$1,582,700	\$3,400,000	
Spending at Festival (artists & food vendors)	Artist: \$582,329 Food Vendor: \$50,255	Artist: \$1,532,445 Food Vendor: \$132,250	
Net new spending in greater Rochester	\$950,116	\$1,735,305	
Retail margin captured locally (.75)	\$712,587	\$1,301,479	
Indirect & induced impacts (Multiplier of 1.28)	\$199,524	\$364,414	
Total Economic Impact	\$912,111	\$1,665,893	



### 3.2 ARTISTS

New spending and economic impact by artists at the Festival is shown in Table 4. The estimate is based on an online survey of artists completed after the Festival ended.

Table 4: NEW SPENDING & ECONOMIC IMPACT BY ARTISTS

COMPONENT OF ECONOMIC IMPACT	ESTIMATE
Number of Artists	283
Spending per artist in Greater Rochester Area	\$2,132
Total Spending from Artists	\$603,356
Retail Margin Captured Locally (.75)	\$452,517
Indirect & Induced Impacts (multiplier of 1.28)	\$126,705
Total Economic Impact	\$579,222

### 3.3 FOOD VENDORS

New spending and economic impact by food vendors at the Festival is shown in Table 5. The estimate is based on an online survey of food vendors completed after the Festival ended.

Table 5: NEW SPENDING & ECONOMIC IMPACT BY FOOD VENDORS

COMPONENT OF ECONOMIC	Estimate
IMPACT	
Number of Food Vendors	23
Spending per Food Vendor in the	\$1,914
Greater Rochester Area	
Total Spending	\$44,022
Retail Margin Captured Locally (.75)	\$33,016
Indirect & Induced Impacts (multiplier of	\$9,244
1.28)	
Total Economic Impact	\$42,260

### 3.4 PERFORMERS

New spending and economic impact by performers at the Festival is shown in Table 6. The estimate is based on an online survey of food vendors completed after the Festival ended.

Table 6: NEW SPENDING & ECONOMIC IMPACT BY PERFORMERS

COMPONENT OF ECONOMIC	Estimate
IMPACT	
Number of Performers	16
Spending per Performer in the Greater	\$50
Rochester Area	
Total Spending	\$800
Retail Margin Captured Locally (.75)	\$600
Indirect & Induced Impacts (multiplier of	\$168
1.28)	
Total Economic Impact	\$768

### 3.5 SPONSORS

New spending and economic impact by sponsors at the Festival is shown in Table 7. The estimate is based on an online survey of sponsors completed after the Festival ended.

Table 7: NEW SPENDING & ECONOMIC IMPACT BY SPONSORS

COMPONENT OF ECONOMIC IMPACT	Estimate
Number of Sponsors	36
Spending per Sponsor in the Greater	\$3,750
Rochester Area	
Total Spending	\$135,000
Retail Margin Captured Locally (.75)	\$101,250
Indirect & Induced Impacts (multiplier of	\$28,350
1.28)	
Total Economic Impact	\$129,600

### 3.5 OPERATIONAL EXPENSES

New spending and economic impact from operational expenses by the Paint Creek Center for the Arts to put on the Festival is shown in Table 8. The estimate is based on information provided by the Paint Creek Center for the Arts.

Table 8: NEW SPENDING & ECONOMIC IMPACT FROM OPERATIONAL EXPENSES

COMPONENT OF ECONOMIC	Estimate
IMPACT	
On site expenses	\$56,000
Staffing & Overhead	\$54,601
In kind	\$36,955
Marketing & PR	\$23,007
Online application and artist award	\$11,100
expenses	
Performances	\$4,100
Printing	\$13,000
Supplies, postage, misc.	\$6,438
Total Expenses	\$205,201
Retail Margin Captured Locally (.75)	\$153,900
Indirect & Induced Impacts (multiplier of	\$43,092
1.28)	
Total Economic Impact	\$196,992

### 3. TOTAL ECONOMIC IMPACT FROM FESTIVAL

### Table 9: ECONOMIC IMPACT SUMMARY

CATEGORY	ECONOMIC IMPACT
Artist	\$579,222
Sponsor	\$129,600
Food Vendor	\$42,260
Performer	\$768
Operations Expenses	\$196,992
Patrons	\$912,111 to \$1,665,893
Total economic impact	\$1,860,953 to \$2,614,735

### **APPENDICES**

### LITERATURE REVIEW & REFERENCES

#### Literature Review

An issue faced by those conducting economic impact analyses is the lack of concise methodology and terminology for these studies. For instance, the terms economic impact, economic contribution, and economic benefit are used interchangeable between various studies, while all referring to the same method of analysis. This lack of encompassing terminology and methodology makes it difficult to accurately compare results. By differentiating economic impact from contribution and benefit, economists can better interpret the results at their value. Despite being used interchangeably, contribution studies are intended to lend themselves to analyzing the multiplier effect on particular firms or industries, according to *The Rights and Wrongs of Economic Impact Analysis for Colleges and Universities* and impact analyses are meant to view the net change in economic activity on a region that would not have otherwise occurred without the examined event or firm (Christophersen, 2014),. A lack of blueprint for these studies and differentiation of impact analysis leads to different methodologies that produce results that cannot be compared and contrasted with one another, which limits their usage and opens the possibility of questioning of legitimacy (Watson, 2007).

Economic impact analyses are often commissioned with a political purpose in mind. Many of these studies' sponsors seek verification that their program is beneficial to the host community. One method used to mislead observers at the benefit of the sponsor is the exclusion of community costs when monetizing economic activity, thus using the term "economic impact" which is instead analyzing the economic benefit of a particular event without accounting for any spending on hosting said activity. This manipulation leads t an inaccurate depiction of whether of not the studied event provides realistically benefit to the community, since the community's costs are unaccounted for (Crompton, 2006).

Another distortion of economic impact analysis is the inclusion of local resident spending during an event. The inclusion of local resident is misleading as their transactions would have occurred within the community and benefitted it regardless of whether the examined activity had occurred. Because local residents would be making purchases within that community during the same time period regardless, the inclusion of them causes the appearance of a greater inflow of money than what actually occurred (Crompton, 2006). Economic impact analyses should only be analyzing economic activity that would not or could not have occurred were it not for the event the analysis is being performed on.



Study	Event	Summary	Data Collection	Multiplier	Leakage
Tulip Time 2015: Economic Impact and Attendee Profile	The Tulip Time festival in Holland, Michigan.	Taking place in Holland, Michigan, Tulip Time is a cultural event celebrating the area's Dutch heritage. Showcasing millions of tulips and attracting hundreds of thousands of visitors over an eight day stretch.	Surveys of the attendee "demographics and economic data The events spending, revenue, ticket sales, participant counts, and origin data. Population of Holland area, sales volume, income, and employment estimates" were all used in the economic impact analysis.	U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis RIMS II Final Demand Multipliers for output, earnings, and employment.	Shows how they got the numbers for new net spending but no explicit mention of leakage itself.
The Economic impact of the Southern Festival of Books	The economic impact analysis of a book festival in Memphis, Tennessee.	200 Authors and 100 publishing and book distributor booths gather at the festival. More than 30,000 visit the festival in the greater Memphis area. The report is from 2004 and is recent enough to have relevance.	Under the "Methodology" section it was explained that Surveys were used to collect data from the festival visitors. "Sales, Earnings, and Employment Multipliers" were used. "Tax Revenue Generated by Non-residents and Residents"	The multiplier used was the input-Outputs Modeling System (RIMS II) from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.	Net new spending was analyzed through the gathering of surveys with non-local Festival guest questions. Such as where non local guests were staying and how many days were spent there.
ArtPrize 2013: Economic Impact and Attendee profile	An economic Impact study of the 2013 ArtPrize event	ArtPrize is an art competition that showcases artists from all	"Two surveys to collect demographic information and	U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis RIMS II Multipliers were	Leakage is defined here as "Improper handling of

	taking place within the Kent and Ottawa counties	over the world competing for cash prizes. The City of Grand Rapids hosts their gallery and the event draws many visitors.	to collect economic information from attendees"	used.	substitution" where the event simply shifts moneys from one venture to another in this case, the ArtPrize event. It was discovered that 55% of spending was "new" while 45% was redirected. Pg. B-3
Economic Impact Study Detroit Riverfront	An economic impact analysis on the improvements to the Detroit riverfront	Over the course of a decade heavy investment has been made in the Detroit riverfront area. The visitors, because of the aesthetic improvement have provided much uplift to the local economy.	1,505 surveys were given out both on the street (in Detroit) and at events including the Detroit Free Press Marathon and Detroit River Days.	Economic and employment multipliers were used to better understand the impact on employment with respect to current and near term construction related spending. The individual multipliers are not given.	There is no mention of leakage within the analysis.
Arts & Economic Prosperity: The Economic Impact of the Finger Lakes GrassRoots Festival of Music and Dance on Tompkins County, NY	An economic impact analysis on the impact of the GrassRoots Festival within Tompkins County, NY	Taking place in Tompkins County, NY The Finger Lakes GrassRoots Festival of Music and Dance was conducted by the Americans for the Arts organization. The festival itself brings in visitors as well as revenue for the	Audience- intercept surveying was used to collect data.	A multiplier was not given, but input/output analysis was conducted.	The input/output analysis tracks how many times a dollar is used within a local economy before it leaks out.



	local economy.		

References

Anderson, P., Geckil, I., & Watkins, S. (2004). The economic benefits of wayne state university. ().

Benton, C., & Taylor, T. (2015). Tulip time 2015: Economic impact and attendee profile. ().

Christophersen, K., Nadreau, T., & Olanie, A. (2014). The rights and wrongs of economic impact analysis for colleges and universities. EMSI Economists.

Crompton, J. (2006). Economic impact studies: Instruments for political shenanigans? Journal of Travel Research, 45(1), 67-82. doi:10.1177/0047287506288870

The economic impact of nonprofit arts and culture organizations and their audiences in the greater ann arbor area, MI. (2014). (). Americans for the Arts.

Carstensen, Fred, et al. The Economic Impact of the New Britian Museum of American Art. Storrs, CT: Connecticut Center for Economic Analysis, University of Connecticut, 2000.

Crompton, John L., S. Lee, and T. and Shuster. "A Guide for Undertaking Economic Impact Studies: The Springfest Festival." Journal of Travel Research 40.1 (2001): 79-87.

Crompton, John L. "Economic Impact Studies: Instruments for Political Shenanigans?" Journal of Travel Research 45 (2006): 67-82.

Dwyer, Larry, Peter Forsyth, and Ray and Spurr. "Estimating the Impacts of Special Events on an Economy." Journal of Travel Research 43 (2005): 351-9.

Economic impact study detroit riverfront 2013. (2013). ().

Hjerpe, Evan, and Yeon-Su and Kim. Regional Economic Impacts of Grand Canyon River Runners. Flagstaff, Arizona: Northern Arizona University, School of Forestry, 2003.

Lynch, R. (2009). Arts & DrassRoots festival of music and dance on tompkins county, NY. (). Americans for the ARTS.

Miller, Steven R. Quantifying the Economic Impact of Community Events. East Lansing, MI: Center for Economic Analysis, Michigan State University, 2007.

Northern shenandoah valley VA economic impact analysis case study. ().



Plumstead, J.Economic impact analysis. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/mining/school-of-mines/2012/pwc-realizing-the-value-of-your-project-economic-impact-analysis.pdf

Rosaen, A., & El-Kilani, Z. (2016). Ninth annual economic impact report of michigan university research corridor. ().

Sallee, Caroline M., Alex L. Rosaen, and Patrick and Anderson. The Economic Impact of Michigan State University. East Lansing, Michigan: Anderson Economic Group, LLC, 2007.

Siegfried, John. and Zimbalist, Andrew. "The Economics of Sports Facilities and their Communities." Journal of Economic Perspectives 14.3 (2000): 95-114.

Stynes, D. J. Economic impacts of Tourism: A Handbook for Tourism Professionals. Urbana, IL, University of Illinois: Tourism Research Laboratory (1997).

Theile, T., Branneman, L., & Watkins, S. (2014). ArtPrize 2013: Economic impact and attendee profile. ().

Wallace, J., Honey, J., & Smith, S. (2005). The economic impact of the southern festival of books. Business Perspectives, 17(3), 16. Retrieved from http://search.proquest.com/docview/228678556

Watson, P., Wilson, J., Thilmany, D., & Winter, S. (2007). Determining economic contributions and impacts: What is the difference and why do we care? Journal of Regional Analysis and Policy, 37(2), 140-146.

Wisbrod, G., Landau, S., Fitzroy, S., Collins, M., Winston, A., Wornum, C., . . . Moses, S. (2011). Highway economic impact case study database and analysis findings. ().



### **QUESTIONNAIRES**

## Artist Survey

My goal for participating in the festival was to:

 $1=\mbox{Strongly Agree}$  ,  $2=\mbox{Somewhat Agree}$  ,  $3=\mbox{Neutral}$  ,  $4=\mbox{Somewhat}$  Disagree ,  $5=\mbox{Strongly Disagree}$ 

Disagree , $5 =$	· Strongly Dis	sagree					
Answe	r l	2	3	4	5	Numb of Respor	Score ses *
Sell a product/service	ce					94	1.0
Create sales le						72	2.2
Distribute information/m	arketina					69	3.0
Increase brand loyalty	_					68	2.5
Change/reinfo	orce					68	3.0
image Build retail traf	fic					71	2.0

<sup>\*</sup>The Rating Score is the weighted average calculated by dividing the sum of all weighted ratings by the number of total responses.

▶ Show Details

What is your medium?

TTHE 10 YOU MOUNT.		
		Respons
0%	100% of	е
	Response	s Ratio
	<u>6</u>	6.3%
	<u>9</u>	9.4%
	<u>10</u>	10.5%
	<u>2</u>	2.1%
	<u>3</u>	3.1%
	<u>3</u>	3.1%
	<u>5</u>	5.2%
	<u>8</u>	8.4%
	<u>2</u>	2.1%
	<u>4</u>	4.2%
	<u>8</u>	8.4%
	<u>2</u>	2.1%
	<u>3</u>	3.1%
	<u>11</u>	11.5%
	<u>8</u>	8.4%
	0%	Number 0% 100% of Responses 6 9 10 2 3 3 5 8 2 4 8 2 3 11

Printmaking	<u>1</u>	1.0%
Sculpture	<u>6</u>	6.3%
Wood	<u>4</u>	4.2%
No Responses	0	0.0%
Totals	95	100%

Overall,

how satisfied were you with:

1 = Extremely Satisfied , 2 = Somewhat Satisfied , 3 = Neutral , 4 = Somewhat Dissatisfied , 5 = Extremely Dissatisfied

Answer	1	2	3	4	5	Numb of Respon	Kating Score*
Your sales						93	2.4
Quality of Work Balance of mediums						91 87	2.0 1.8
Prestige of show						91	1.9
Booth space						91	1.9
Online application						91	1.4
process Investment made in being a vendor						91	2.4
Goals of participating being met						91	2.5

<sup>\*</sup>The Rating Score is the weighted average calculated by dividing the sum of all weighted ratings by the number of total responses.

### ▶ Show Details

## 4 Overall, how satisfied were you with:

1 = Extremely Satisfied, 2 = Somewhat Satisfied, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat Dissatisfied, 5 = Extremely Dissatisfied

	Answer	1	2	3	4	5	Numbe of Respons	Score*
At	tendance						94	1.8
$\bigvee$	/eather						93	3.1
Ad	dvertising						90	2.2
M	anagement						94	2.2
	tist treatment						95	2.0
Ar	tist amenities						94	2.4
Ju	dging & Awards						93	3.0
La	yout						94	2.4
Lo	ad-in/out						95	2.4
Рс	arking						95	2.5
4-	-1 6 . 6 . 1		1	1 1			C 11 1 1	

<sup>\*</sup>The Rating Score is the weighted average calculated by dividing the sum of all weighted ratings by the number of total responses.

### ▶ Show Details

What was your average price for items sold at the Art & Apples Festival?



\/:T		93	Number of Responses	
6	ext Answers What w	your total sales made from the Art & Apples	Fostival?	
O	Answer	,	Number 00% of	Respons e
	7 (110 ) ( )		Responses	
Under S	1.000		<u>12</u>	12.6%
	- \$2,500		<del>28</del>	29.4%
	- \$5,000		<u>28</u>	29.4%
\$5,001	- \$7,500		<u>12</u>	12.6%
	- \$10,000		28 28 12 6 6 3	6.3%
	nan \$10,000		<u>6</u>	6.3%
No Res	ponses		3	3.1%
		Totals	95	100%
7	likely is it	How that you will participate in the Art and Apples Fe: future?	stival in the	
		101010 :	Number	Respons
	Answer	0%	00% of	е
			Responses	s Ratio
Extreme	ly likely		•	55.7%
Somew	hat likely		53 15 9 8 10 0	15.7%
Neutral			9	9.4%
	hat unlikely		<u>8</u>	8.4%
	ly unlikely		<u>10</u>	10.5%
No Res	ponses			0.0%
0		Totals	95	100%
8	How many	y other art festivals do you participate in as an ar		D
	<b>A</b>	00/	Number	Respons
	Answer	0%	00% of	e Datio
Nlana l	only participate		Responses	s Ratio
	rt & Apples		0	0.0%
Festival	пальрю		O	0.070
]			1	1.0%
2			Ö	0.0%
3			1 0 2 5 87 0	2.1%
4			<u>5</u>	5.2%
More th	nan 4		<u>87</u>	91.5%
No Res	ponses			0.0%
		Totals	95	100%
9		How		
•	did your sc	ales at the Art & Apples Festival compare to othe		_
		-00/	Number	•
	Answer	0%	00% of	e
			Responses	s Ratio



Our sales were lower	<u>37</u>	38.9%
Our sales were about	<u>34</u>	35.7%
the same		
Our sales were higher	<u>22</u>	23.1%
I only participate in Art &	$\cap$	0.0%
Apples	O	0.076
No Responses	2	2.1%
Totals	95	100%
1		

While participating in the Art & Apples Festival, did you stay overnight?

Answer	0%	100%	Number of Responses	e
Yes (hotel, B&B, camping) Yes (family or friends)		!	<u>64</u>	67.3% 11.5%
No, I did not stay  overnight			20	21.0%
No Responses	Totals		0 <b>95</b>	0.0% <b>100%</b>

How many nights did you stay in the Rochester area while participating in the Art & Apples
 Festival?

Number of Responses

### View Text Answers

89

How much did you spend in total, for you and all members of your party, to operate and run your booth during the Art & Apples Festival (including fees, set-up, tent & fixture rental, accommodations, restaurants & bars, groceries & take-out food/drinks, fuel and other automotive expenses, souvenirs, and other expenses?

			Number	Respons	
Answer	0%	100%	of	е	
		R	Responses	Ratio	
Less than \$500		<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	17.8%	
\$500 - \$1,000		5	<u>50</u>	52.6%	
\$1,001 - \$2,000		<u>2</u>	<u>22</u>	23.1%	
\$2,001 - \$3,000		4	<u> </u>	4.2%	
\$3,001 - \$5,000		C	)	0.0%	
\$5,001 - \$7,000		C	)	0.0%	
More than \$7,000		C	)	0.0%	
No Responses		2	<u>)</u>	2.1%	
	Totals	9	)5	100%	
13	What is your home or studio zip code?				
	Answer		Number of		
	Allswei		Resp	onses	
Postal Code			87		
<u>View answers</u>					
14	What is your age?				

Number of Responses

View Text Answers

88

15

Please feel free to share any additional comments or suggestions that have not been covered.

Number of Responses

View Text Answers

73

### **FOOD VENDOR SURVEY**

Text

Block:

Thank you for your involvement as a vendor at the Art & Apples Festival and participating in the Food Vendor Survey. There are only 12 short questions to complete. The survey will be analyzed by Oakland University faculty to measure the economic impact of the Art & Apples Festival, and to improve future Festival execution. All responses are strictly confidential. Only aggregate survey results will be released.

My goal for participating in the festival was to:

1= Strongly Agree , 2= Somewhat Agree , 3= Neutral , 4= Somewhat Disagree , 5= Strongly Disagree

Answer	1	2	3	4	5	Numl of Respo	Kating Score*
Sell a product/service						8	1.0
Create sales leads						7	1.6
Distribute information/marketing						8	2.5
Increase brand loyalty						8	1.5
Change/reinforce						8	2.8
image						_	
Build retail traffic						7	2.4

<sup>\*</sup>The Rating Score is the weighted average calculated by dividing the sum of all weighted ratings by the number of total responses.

### ▶ Show Details

Overall, how satisfied were you with:

1 = Extremely Satisfied, 2 = Somewhat Satisfied, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat Dissatisfied, 5 = Extremely Dissastisfied

Answer	1	2	3	4	5	Num ol	, Katina	
						Respo	nses	
Overall festival						8	1.4	
Food booth/trailer						8	1.8	
space						O	1.0	
Flat fee for space inste	ead					8	1 Q	
of food tickets						J	1.7	



Investment made in	7	2.3
being a food vendor	/	2.3
Sales at the festival	8	2.4
Goals of participating being met	8	1.8

<sup>\*</sup>The Rating Score is the weighted average calculated by dividing the sum of all weighted ratings by the number of total responses.

### View comments (3)

### ▶ Show Details

3 How likely is it that you will participate in the Art & Apples festival in the future? Please explain why or why not.

			Number	Respons
Answer	0%	100%	of	е
		R	esponses	Ratio
Extremely likely		<u>5</u>		62.5%
Somewhat likely		<u>3</u>		37.5%
Neutral		Ο		0.0%
Somewhat unlikely		0		0.0%
Extremely unlikely		0		0.0%
No Responses		0		0.0%
•	Totals	8		100%

### View comments (3)

4 How many other art festivals do you participate in as a food vendor?

Answer	0%	Numbe 100% of Respons	ė
None, I only participate		·	
in the Art & Apples Festival		2	25.0%
1		0	0.0%
2		<u>3</u>	37.5%
3		<u>1</u>	12.5%
4		0	0.0%
More than 4		<u>2</u>	25.0%
No Responses		0	0.0%
	Totals	8	100%

How did your food sales at the Art & Apples Festival compare to your food sales at other art events?

			Number	Respons
Answer	0%	100%	of	е
		F	Responses	Ratio
Sales were higher at Art & Apples		2	<u>)</u>	25.0%

Sales were about the			
same as Art & Apple		<u>2</u>	25.0%
Sales were lower at			0.004
& Apples		0	0.0%
l only participate in A	Art &	0	25.0%
Apples		<u>2</u>	23.0%
No Responses		2	25.0%
	Totals	8	100%
6	What were your total sales at the Art & Appl		D
٨	00/		r Respons
Answer	0%	100% of	е
I I I		Respons	
Under \$1,000		0	0.0%
\$1,001 - \$2,500		2 2 2 1 0	25.0%
\$2,501 - \$5,000		<u>2</u>	25.0%
\$5,001 - \$ <i>7,</i> 500		<u>2</u>	25.0%
\$7,500 - \$10,000		]	12.5%
More than \$10,000	)	0	0.0%
No Responses		1	12.5%
ino kesponses	т. І	8	
7 14/1-1	Totals	_	100%
7 While	participating in the Art & Apples Festival, did y	,	6
		Numbe	r Respons
Answer	0%	100% of	е
		Respons	es Ratio
Yes (hotel, B&B,		0	0.0%
camping)		O	
Yes (family or friends	s)	0	0.0%
No, I did not stay		<u>8</u>	100.0%
overnight			
No Responses		0	0.0%
	Totals	8	100%
8 How many night	ts did you stay in the Rochester area while par Festival?	ticipating in the Art	& Apples
	i Gallyury	Number of	
		Responses	
Vious Toyt Anguers	2	Responses	
View Text Answers		1 0	
У П	low many people/employees attended the fes	Number of Responses	
View Text Answers	7	козроноез	
	,		
	you spend in total, for you and all members of		
•	e during the Art & Apples Festival (including fe	•	
0 accommodat	tions, restaurants & bars, groceries & take-out f		d other
	automotive expenses, souvenirs, and other	expenses?	
	·		r Respons
Answer	0%	100% of	е
, 1107701		Respons	
		reshous	C3 KUIIO

Less than \$500			1	12.5%
\$500 - \$1,000			<u>2</u>	25.0%
\$1,001 - \$2,000			<u>_</u>	0.0%
\$2,001 - \$3,000			<u>3</u>	37.5%
\$3,001 - \$5,000			<u>1</u>	12.5%
\$5,001 - \$7,000			0	0.0%
More than \$7,000			0	0.0%
No Responses			1	12.5%
	Totals		8	100%
11		What is your home zip code?		
		Answer		Number of Responses
Postal Code			8	•
<u>View answers</u>				

12 Please feel free to share any additional comments or suggestions that have not been covered.

Number of Responses

View Text Answers

4

### **ONLINE ATTENDEE SURVEY**

ext

Block:

Thank you for attending the Art & Apples Festival and for participating in the Attendee Survey. There are only 18 short questions to complete. The information you provide will be analyzed by Oakland University faculty to measure the economic impact of the Art & Apples Festival, and to improve future Festival execution. All responses are strictly confidential. Only aggregate survey results will be released.

1 What brings you to the Art & Apples Festival?

			Number	Respons
Answer	0%	100%	s of	е
			Responses	s Ratio
Patron			<u>29</u>	64.4%
Sponsor			0	0.0%
Food Vendor			<u>2</u>	4.4%
Artist			<u>2</u> <u>9</u> 0	20.0%
Performer			0	0.0%
Volunteer			<u>5</u>	11.1%
No Responses			0	0.0%
'	Totals		45	100%
2	How did you hear about the Art & Apples Festi	ival?		
	,		Number	Respons
Answer	0%	100%	of	e
			Responses	s Ratio
Received Festival Guidin Rochester Post	de		<u>4</u>	8.8%

Saw artwork in office building/grocery store/Metro Detroit location Social Media Word of Mouth Downtown promotion Other (View all) No Responses  How many visits, in August 13	Totals ugust 2016, did you make to downtown Rochest or for entertainment, or for shopping?		
Answer	0%	Number 100% of	Respons e
Allawei	O/6		
N 1		Responses	
None		12 12 9 12 0	26.6%
1 to 2 visits		<u>12</u>	26.6%
3 to 5 visits		9	20.0%
More than 5 visits		12	26.6%
		12	
No Responses			0.0%
	Totals	45	100%
4 How many child	dren (under 16) were in your party while attendi	ing Art & Apple	ŞŞ
		Number	Respons
Answer	0%	100% of	ė
7 (110 44 61	0.70		
1		Responses	
		18 8 3 0	40.0%
2 3		<u>8</u>	17.7%
3		3	6.6%
		<u>o</u>	
4 5			0.0%
5		0	0.0%
6		0	0.0%
7 or more		1	2.2%
		<u> </u>	
No Responses		15	33.3%
	Totals	45	100%
5 Was the Art & Ap	ples Festival the primary reason for your visit to	the Rochester a	rea?
'	1 / /		Respons
A	00/		•
Answer	0%	100% of	е
		Responses	s Ratio
Yes, if Art & Apples was			
not occurring I probably			
		<u>24</u>	53.3%
would not have visited			
the Rochester area.			
No, I would have visited			
the area even if Art &			
		<u>21</u>	46.6%
Apples was not		<del></del>	
occurring.			
No Responses		0	0.0%
		<u> </u>	3.070



#### arts & apples festival@ economic impact analysis

6 Ho	Totals w much time did you spend at Art & Apples this	vear	45 ?	100%
Answer	0%	100%	Number 6 of	Respons e
Half day (about 5 hours or less			Responses 34	75.5%
Close to 1 full day (5-12 hours)			Z	15.5%
Between 1 and 2 full days (12-24 hours)			2	4.4%
Between 2 and 3 full days			2	4.4%
No Responses	T . I		0	0.0%
7 111.1	Totals	1 · d.	45	100%
7 How likely	is it that you will attend the Art & Apples Festivo	al in tr	Number	Pospons
Answer	0%	100%		Respons e
7 (11344 C1	O76	100%	Responses	
Extremely Likely			•	68.8%
Somewhat Likely			31 10 3 0	22.2%
Neutral			3	6.6%
Somewhat Unlikely			0	0.0%
Extremely Unlikely			1	2.2%
No Responses			<u>1</u> O	0.0%
140 1103 011000	Totals		45	100%
8 W	hile visiting for Art & Apples, did you stay overr	niaht2		10070
,,,	Time violing for 7 th & 7 tpp100, and you may even	g	Number	Respons
Answer	0%	100%		е
			Responses	s Ratio
Yes (hotel, B&B, camping)			1	2.2%
Yes (family or friends)			2	4.4%
No, I did not stay overnight			<u>41</u>	91.1%
No Responses			1	2.2%
	Totals		45	100%
9	If arrived by car, where did you park?			
Answer	0%	100%	Number 6 of	Respons e
		/	Responses	
Rochester High School - free shuttle			11	24.4%
Downtown Rochester parking decks			<u>6</u>	13.3%

Rochester Schools			
Administration Building		0	0.0%
parking lot			
Residential Home		<u>8</u>	17.7%
Other (View all)		<u>18</u>	40.0%
No Responses		2	4.4%
	Totals	45	100%
10	If arrived by car, how much did you pay for parki	ng?	
		Number of	
		Responses	
· · · · ·	0.1	•	

<u>View Text Answers</u>

1

While attending Art & Apples, about how much did you spend (as a person, per day average) in addition to what you otherwise would have spent in the Rochester area in the month of September? Please consider all expenditures, including on merchandise, travel, accommodations, food, entertainment, and shopping.

Answer	0%	100%		Respons e s Ratio
\$0 - I would have spent about the same amount in the Rochester area Less than \$10 per			<u>6</u>	13.3%
person - I spent a little more while in the Rochester area for the Art & Apples Festival			<u>5</u>	11.1%
\$10 - \$20 per person - spent about this much more while in the Rochester area for the Art & Apples Festival			<u>13</u>	28.8%
\$20 - \$30 per person - spent about this much more while in the Rochester area for the Art & Apples Festival			<u>4</u>	8.8%
\$30 - \$50 per person - spent about this much more while in the Rochester area for the Art & Apples Festival More than \$50 per			<u>8</u>	17.7%
person - I spent this much more while in the Rochester area for the			9	20.0%
Art & Apples Festival No Responses			0	0.0%

#### arts & apples festival@ economic impact analysis

	Totals visit to Rochester for Art & Apples, how likely of in the next 12 months, compared to if you did	n't come for Art & Appl	o les?
Answer	0%	Number Resp 100% of e	
Just as likely More likely Less likely No Responses		Responses Rat  31 68.89  13 28.89  0 0.0%  1 2.2%	%
140 ((63))	Totals	45 100%	/ b
1 3	you make a donation to Art & Apples during y	our visit?	
Answer	0%	Number Resp 100% of e	
Yes No Decline to answer No Responses		Responses       Rat         28       62.2%         14       31.1%         3       6.6%         0       0.0%	% %
14	Totals  What is your home zip code?	45 100%	, 0
1-7	Answer	Number of	f
Postal Code	Allswei	Responses 44	i
<u>View answers</u> 15	What is your age?		
View Text Answe		Number of Responses	
1	What is your annual household income?		
	,	Number Resp	
Answer  Less than \$50,000 \$51,000 - \$75,000 \$76,000 - \$100,000 \$101,000 - \$150,000 \$151,000 - \$200,000 \$201,000 - \$250,000 Over \$250,000 Decline to answer No Responses	)	100% of e Responses Rat 2 4.4% 5 11.19 6 13.39 6 13.39 7 15.59 2 4.4% 1 2.2% 16 35.59 0 0.0% 45 100%	io % % %

Totals 45 100%
17 Please feel free to share any additional comments or suggestions that have not been covered.



Number of Responses

View Text Answers

29

1 Thank you for completing this survey. Please provide your email address below to be eligible for the prize.

### ONLINE ATTENDEE SURVEY (VERSION 2)

Thank you for attending the Art & Apples Festival and for participating in the Attendee Survey. There are only 19 short questions to complete. The information you provide will be analyzed by Oakland University faculty to measure the economic impact of the Art & Apples Festival, and to improve future Festival execution. All responses are strictly confidential. Only aggregate survey results will be released.

1 What brings you to the Art & Apples Festival?

·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		Ν	umber	Respons
Answer	0%	100%	of	e
		Res	sponses	s Ratio
Patron		<u>15</u>		88.2%
Sponsor		0		0.0%
Food Vendor		0		0.0%
Artist		<u>1</u>		5.8%
Performer		0		0.0%
Volunteer		<u>1</u>		5.8%
No Responses		0		0.0%
ı	Totals	17		100%

2 How did you hear about the Art & Apples Festival?

Answer	0%	Number 100% of Response	ė
Received Festival Guid	е	2	11.7%
in Rochester Post Saw artwork in office building/grocery			
store/Metro Detroit		0	0.0%
location			
Social Media		<u>3</u>	17.6%
Word of Mouth		<u>4</u> O	23.5%
Downtown promotion		0	0.0%
Other (View all)		<u>8</u>	47.0%
No Responses		0	0.0%
'	Totals	17	100%

3 How many visits, in August 2016, did you make to downtown Rochester to eat in a restaurant, or for entertainment, or for shopping?

			эт э	Number	Respons
/	Answer	0%	100%	% of	е
				Responses	Ratio
None				2	11.7%

		Totals	8 3 4 0	47.0% 17.6% 23.5% 0.0% 1 <b>00%</b>
4	Did	you shop or eat at a restaurant in downtown Ro during your visit to the Arts & Apples Festivals	ochester ?	
	Answer	0%	Numb	per Respons e
	7 (11344 C)	070	Respor	
Yes			•	47.0%
No			<u>8</u> <u>9</u> 0	52.9%
No Re	sponses	T . I	=	0.0%
5	How many shi	Totals	17 ling Art 8 Ar	100%
J	riow many cm	ldren (under 16) were in your party while attend	Numk	
	Answer	0%	100% of	е
			Respor	nses Ratio
1			<u>4</u>	23.5%
2			<u>4</u> <u>3</u> O	17.6%
3				0.0%
2 3 4 5			0	0.0% 0.0%
6			0	0.0%
7 or m	ore		Ö	0.0%
	sponses		10	58.8%
		Totals	17	100%
6 \	Was the Art & Ap	oples Festival the primary reason for your visit to		
	<b>A</b>	00/	Numb	•
	Answer	0%	100% of Respor	e nses Ratio
Yes if	Art & Apples was		Kespoi	ises kuilo
	curring I probably		1.1	/ 1 70/
	not have visited		<u>11</u>	64.7%
	chester area.			
	would have visited			
	ea even if Art &		<u>5</u>	29.4%
occurr	s was not ina			
	sponses		1	5.8%
	T	Totals	17	100%
7	Но	w much time did you spend at Art & Apples this	year?	
			Numb	per Respons
	Answer	0%	100% of	е
Halt 4	ay labout 5 hours	1	Respor	nses Ratio
or less	ay (about 5 hours	1	<u>13</u>	76.4%
51 1000				



Close to 1 hours)	full day (5-12		/ 4	<u>2</u>	11.7%
Between 1 days (12-2	24 hours)		(	)	0.0%
Between 2 days	and 3 tull		- -	<u>1</u>	5.8%
No Respo	nses	Totals		] 1 <i>7</i>	5.8% 1 <b>00%</b>
8	How likely	is it that you will attend the Art & Apples Festivo			100%
				Number	Respons
Aı	nswer	0%	100%	of	e
E. 4 l 1	:11			Responses	
Extremely l Somewhat	,		_	<u>16</u> )	94.1% 0.0%
Neutral	LIKEIY			)	0.0%
Somewhat	· Unlikely			)	0.0%
Extremely (					5.8%
No Respon			(	<u>l</u> O	0.0%
1		Totals		1 <i>7</i>	100%
9	W	hile visiting for Art & Apples, did you stay overn	night?		
				Number	Respons
Aı	nswer	0%	100%	of -	e
N / //	D 0 D			Responses	Ratio
Yes (hotel, camping)	В&В,		(	)	0.0%
Yes (family			(	)	0.0%
No, I did r	not stay			16	94.1%
overnight			-	1	
No Respo	nses	T . I		 1 <b>-</b> 7	5.8%
1		Totals		17	100%
0		If arrived by car, where did you park?			
				Number	Respons
F	Answer	0%	100%	of	e
Daabaata	r ∐iab Cabaa			Responses	Ratio
free shuttl	r High Schoo Io	l <del>-</del>	(	)	0.0%
	vn Rochester				
parking c			4	<u>4</u>	23.5%
	r Schools				
	ation Building		4	2	11.7%
parking l	0		_	_	<del>.</del>
Residenti			4	<u>2</u>	11.7%
Other <u>(V</u>	<u>'iew all)</u>		(	<u>2</u> 2 )	52.9%
No Resp	onses				0.0%
		Totals		17	100%
11		If arrived by car, how much did you pay for po	ırking?		



Number of Responses

### View Text Answers

2

14

While attending Art & Apples, about how much did you spend (as a person, per day average) in addition to what you otherwise would have spent in the Rochester area in the month of September? Please consider all expenditures, including on merchandise, travel, accommodations, food, entertainment, parking, and shopping.

Number Respond				
Answer	0%	1009		kespons e
			Response	s Ratio
\$0 - I would have spent			'	
about the same amount			<u>3</u>	17.6%
in the Rochester area			_	
Less than \$10 per				
person - I spent a little				
more while in the			<u>3</u>	17.6%
Rochester area for the			_	
Art & Apples Festival				
\$10 - \$20 per person -				
spent about this much				
more while in the			<u>2</u>	11.7%
Rochester area for the			_	
Art & Apples Festival				
\$20 - \$30 per person -				
spent about this much				
more while in the			<u>2</u>	11.7%
Rochester area for the			_	
Art & Apples Festival				
\$30 - \$50 per person -				
spent about this much				
more while in the			2	11.7%
Rochester area for the				
Art & Apples Festival				
More than \$50 per				
person - I spent this				
much more while in the			<u>4</u>	23.5%
Rochester area for the			<del>-</del>	
Art & Apples Festival				
No Responses			1	5.8%
'	Totals		1 <i>7</i>	100%

As a result of your visit to Rochester for Art & Apples, how likely are you to come back to 3 downtown Rochester in the next 12 months, compared to if you didn't come for Art & Apples?

Number Respons

		TAUTIDO	Козронз
Answer	0%	100% of	е
		Response	s Ratio
Just as likely		<u>11</u> ·	64.7%
More likely		<u>4</u>	23.5%



1 4	Less likely No Responses	Totals Did you make	a donation to Art & Apples during	1 17 your visit?	5.8% 5.8% 100%
4		•	, ,	Numbei	r Respons
	Answer	0%		100% of	е
				Response	es Ratio
	Yes			<u>14</u> .	82.3%
	No			<u>2</u>	11.7%
	Decline to answer			<u>1</u>	5.8%
	No Responses			0	0.0%
		Totals		17	100%
1	5		What is your home zip code?		
		, A = =			mber of
			Answer	Res	ponses

Postal Code
View answers
16 What is your age?

## **INTERCEPT SURVEY OF ATTENDEES**

### Statistics

		Average visits to Rochester per Month	Was the festival your primary visit reason?	Parking Location	Attendee spending per person	Attendee income	Did you donate?	Home Zipcode
Ν	Valid	134	134	134	134	133	134	132
	Missing	0	0	0	0	1	0	2

## Average visits to Rochester per Month

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	None	66	49.3	49.3	49.3
	1 to 2 visits	25	18.7	18.7	67.9
	3 to 5 visits	14	10.4	10.4	78.4
	More then 5 visits	29	21.6	21.6	100.0
Valid	Total	134	100.0	100.0	

## Parking Location

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Rochester high school	15	11.2	11.2	11.2
	Downton Rochester parking deck	32	23.9	23.9	35.1
	Rochester admin parking lot	5	3.7	3.7	38.8
	residential home	6	4.5	4.5	43.3
	Street parking	15	11.2	11.2	54.5
	Handicapped	13	9.7	9.7	64.2
	Other	48	35.8	35.8	100.0



17

				-
Total	134	100.0	100.0	

### Attendee spending per person

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	\$0	15	11.2	11.2	11.2
	Less than \$10	9	6.7	6.7	17.9
	\$10-\$20	13	9.7	9.7	27.6
	\$20-\$30	15	11.2	11.2	38.8
	\$30-\$50 More than \$50	21	15. <i>7</i>	15.7	54.5
	More than \$50	61	45.5	45.5	100.0
	Total	134	100.0	100.0	

### Attendee income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	less than \$50,000	6	4.5	4.5	4.5
	\$51,000-\$75,000	11	8.2	8.3	12.8
	\$76,000-\$100,000	10	7.5	7.5	20.3
	\$101,000-\$125,000	8	6.0	6.0	26.3
	\$126,000-\$150,000	1	.7	.8	27.1
	\$151,000-\$200,000	13	9.7	9.8	36.8
	over \$200,000	4	3.0	3.0	39.8
	Refused	80	59.7	60.2	100.0
	Total	133	99.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1	.7		
Total		134	100.0		

### Did you donate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	103	<i>7</i> 6.9	<i>7</i> 6.9	76.9
	No	26	19.4	19.4	96.3
	Did not respond	5	3.7	3.7	100.0
	Total	134	100.0	100.0	

Home Zipcode

Frequency Percent Valid Percent Cumulative Percent



Valid	43601	2	1.5	1.5	1.5
7 311 3	48026	1	.7	.8	2.3
	48033	1	.7	.8	3.0
	48038	4	3.0	3.0	6.1
	48042	3	2.2	2.3	8.3
	48044	5	3.7	3.8	12.1
	48047	2	1.5	1.5	13.6
	48064	1	.7	.8	14.4
	48065	2	1.5	1.5	15.9
	48067	1	.7	.8	16.7
	48076	1	.7	.8	17.4
	48083	1	.7	.8	18.2
	48084	1	.7	.8	18.9
	48085	3	2.2	2.3	21.2
	48093	2	1.5	1.5	22.7
	48094	5	3.7	3.8	26.5
	48095	2	1.5	1.5	28.0
	48098	2	1.5	1.5	29.5
	48124	2	1.5	1.5	31.1
	48156	1	.7	.8	31.8
	48167	1	.7	.8	32.6
	48184	1	.7	.8	33.3
	48185	1	.7	.8	34.1
	48236	2	1.5	1.5	35.6
	48301	2	1.5	1.5	37.1
	48306	13	9.7	9.8	47.0
	48307	16	11.9	12.1	59.1
	48309	6	4.5	4.5	63.6
	48310	1	.7	.8	64.4
	48312	1	.7	.8	65.2
	48313	4	3.0	3.0	68.2
	48315	3	2.2	2.3	70.5
	48316	2	1.5	1.5	72.0
	48317	8	6.0	6.1	78.0
	48322	1	.7	.8	78.8
	48324	2	1.5	1.5	80.3
	48326	1	.7	.8	81.1
	48327	1	.7	.8	81.8
	48328	1	.7	.8	82.6
	48329	3	2.2	2.3	84.8
	48346		.7	.8	85.6
	48348	1	.7	.8	86.4
	48350	1	.7	.8	87.1
	48360	1	.7	.8	87.9
	48363	3	2.2	2.3	90.2
	48371	2	1.5	1.5	91.7
	48393	1	.7	.8	92.4
	48439	3	2.2	2.3	94.7
	48442	]	.7	.8	95.5
	48446	1	.7	.8	96.2
	48473	2	1.5	1.5	97.7
	48504	1	.7	.8	98.5
	48793	1	.7	.8	99.2
	71913	120	.7	.8	100.0
	Total	132	98.5	100.0	
A A · ·	System	2	1.5		
Missing	0,0.0				



### **SURVEY DESIGN**

The intercept survey of patrons at the Festival was administered by volunteers who did the interviewing. A set of instructions were provided that included a procedure to randomly select respondents as they were leaving the venue. Flip cards were handed to respondents so they could visualize responses. Interviewing was scheduled at the two main entrances on all three days of the event.

The instructions provided to interviewers are below.

### ARTS & APPLES FESTIVAL INTERCEPT SURVEY NARRATIVE

Here is a flip card with amounts of money on it. Which best represents your total spending per person at the Arts & Apples Festival, in addition to what you otherwise would have spent in the Rochester area in the month of September?

Which letter represents your annual household income?

Which letter represents whether you made a donation to the Arts & Apples Festival?



## A YES, MADE DONATION

## B NO, DID NOT MAKE DONATION

### CARD 3

Cards were handed out to patrons leaving the event and also placed on the windshield of cars in the Rochester High School parking lot directing those attending the Festival to a web site to complete an online questionnaire.

The artist, volunteer, food vendor, sponsor and performer surveys were distributed via email with a link to the online questionnaire.

### **RESPONSE RATES**

SURVEY	number of respondents
Artist	95
Attendee Online	45
Attendee Online (version 2)	17
Food Vendors	8
Volunteers	44
Performers	7
Intercept Survey of Attendees	134
Sponsors	4

### **RESEARCHERS**



Dr. Jonathan Silberman is the founder and director of Oakland University's Southeastern Michigan Economic Data Center. He also serves as a Professor of Economics at Oakland's School of Business. With expertise in economic forecasting, regional economic development, technology transfer, and strategic positioning and competitor analysis, Dr. Silberman has published in prestigious journals and consulted with government leaders on economic development for several cities. He holds a Ph.D. in Economics from Florida State University. Before coming to Oakland University, Dr. Silberman held faculty and administrative positions at a variety of institutions including Arizona State University, University of Baltimore and Old Dominion University.

Donald Arapi Marissa Coloske Johnathan Diclement-Chacon Alan Kerschenheiter Matthew O'Neil Danielle Partyka Nino Vallone

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**